

Convergences almost everywhere and locally almost everywhere in $*$ -algebras of locally measurable operators

Mustafa A. Muratov

*Mathematics and Computer Science Department,
V.I. Vernadsky Taurida National University,
pr. Vernadskogo 4, Simferopol 95007, Crimea, Ukraine
e-mail: mustafa_muratov@mail.ru*

Received 20 December 2005, accepted 31 July 2006

Abstract

In this paper, we consider $*$ -algebras $LS(M)$ of locally measurable operators affiliated to a von Neumann algebra M , and study different kinds of convergences in this algebras, the convergence almost everywhere and the convergence locally almost everywhere. We also study a relationship between these two convergences.

Introduction

One of the first approaches to introduce a “noncommutative version” of the ring of measurable functions was suggested by I. Segal [1], who considered a $*$ -algebra $S(M)$ of measurable operators affiliated to a von Neumann algebra M . Later, for purposes of noncommutative integration, one considered the $*$ -subalgebras of $S(M)$, $S(M, \tau)$, of all τ -measurable operators associated with a faithful normal semi-finite trace τ on M , see, e.g., [2–4]. The algebras $S(M, \tau)$ and $S(M)$ are $*$ -algebras of closed densely defined linear operators that act on a Hilbert space H the same for the von Neumann algebra M itself. In such a case, all these operators are affiliated to M and the algebraic operations for these $*$ -algebras coincide with the operation of the “strong

sum”, the “strong product”, passing to the adjoint, and the usual multiplication by scalars. The von Neumann algebra M is a $*$ -subalgebra of $S(M, \tau)$ and $S(M)$, and coincides with the set of all bounded operators in $S(M, \tau)$ and $S(M)$. A more general class of $*$ -algebras of closed operators that act on a Hilbert space H and that are affiliated to a von Neumann algebra M was introduced by Dixon in [5] who called them EW^* -algebras. In addition to the mentioned above $*$ -algebras $S(M)$ and $S(M, \tau)$, $*$ -algebras $LS(M)$ of locally measurable operators affiliated to M are also EW^* -algebras [6, 7]. B.S. Zakirov and V.I. Chilin have shown in [8] that any EW^* -algebra \mathcal{A} such that $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}(H) = M$, where $\mathcal{B}(H)$ is the algebra of all bounded linear operators acting on H , is a $*$ -subalgebra of $LS(M)$. This explains uniqueness of the $*$ -algebra $LS(M)$ for a von Neumann algebra M in the class of EW^* -algebras.

In this paper, we consider $$ -algebras $LS(M)$, study different types of convergence in these algebras, i.e., convergences almost everywhere and locally almost everywhere, and study a relationship between these two convergences.*

We employ the terminology and notations used in the theory of von Neumann algebras [9, 10] and the theory of measurable operators [1, 3, 4, 7].

1 Preliminaries

Let H be a Hilbert space, $\mathcal{B}(H)$ the algebra of all bounded operators acting on H , M a von Neumann algebra in $\mathcal{B}(H)$, $P(M)$ the complete lattice of all orthogonal projections in M .

A linear space D in H is called *affiliated to M* , denoted by $D \eta M$, if $U(D) \subset D$ for any unitary operator U from the commutant

$$M' = \{S \in \mathcal{B}(H) : ST = TS \ \forall T \in M\}$$

of the von Neumann algebra M . If D is a closed subspace of H and P_D is an operator of the orthogonal projection onto D , then $D \eta M$ if and only if $P_D \in P(M)$.

A linear operator T that acts on a Hilbert space H and has domain $D(T)$ is called *affiliated to M* , denoted by $T \eta M$, if $U(D(T)) \subset D(T)$ for any unitary operator U in the commutant M' and $UT\xi = TU\xi$ for all $\xi \in D(T)$. It is clear that if $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ and $T \eta M$, then $T \in M$.

A closed linear operator T with domain $D(T) \subset H$ is called *measurable with respect to a von Neumann algebra M* [1], if $T \eta M$ and there exists a sequence of projections, $\{P_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset P(M)$, such that $P_n \uparrow I$, $P_n(H) \subset D(T)$,

and $P_n^\perp = I - P_n$ is a finite projection in M for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$, where I is the identity in the von Neumann algebra M .

Denote by $S(M)$ the set of all linear operators on H , measurable with respect to the von Neumann algebra M . If $T \in S(M)$, $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$, where \mathbf{C} is the field of complex numbers, then $\lambda T \in S(M)$ and the operator T^* , adjoint to T , is also measurable with respect to M [1]. Moreover, if $T, S \in S(M)$, then the operators $T + S$ and TS are defined on dense subspaces and admit closures that are called, correspondingly, the strong sum and the strong product of the operators T and S , and are denoted by $T \dot{+} S$ and $T * S$. It was shown in [1] that $T \dot{+} S$ and $T * S$ belong to $S(M)$ and these algebraic operations make $S(M)$ a $*$ -algebra with the identity I over the field \mathbf{C} . Here, M is a $*$ -subalgebra of $S(M)$. In what follows, the strong sum and the strong product of operators T and S will be denoted in the same way as the usual operations, by $T + S$ and TS .

If T is a closed linear operator with the domain dense in H and $T = U|T|$ is the polar decomposition of the operator T , where $|T| = (T^*T)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is the absolute value of T and U is the corresponding partial isometry, then $T \in S(M)$ if and only if $U \in M$ and $|T| \in S(M)$ [7]. The following proposition gives a convenient criterion for a closed operator T to be measurable in terms of the spectral family for $|T|$.

Proposition 1 ([7]). *Let T be a closed operator on H , $T \eta M$, $T = U|T|$ the polar decomposition of T , $\{E_\lambda\}$ the spectral family of projections for $|T|$, $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$, where \mathbf{R} is the field of real numbers. Then $U \in M$ and $E_\lambda \in P(M)$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$. Also, $T \in S(M)$ if and only if the domain $D(T)$ of the operator T is dense in H and E_λ^\perp is a finite projection for some $\lambda > 0$. \square*

To prove Proposition 1.1, one uses the following lemma in an essential way. This lemma will be used later.

Lemma 1 ([7]). *Let T be a closed operator on H with dense domain $D(T)$, $T \eta M$, and $\{E_\lambda\}$ be the spectral family of projections for $|T|$, $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$. If $P \in P(M)$, $P(H) \subseteq D(T)$, $TP \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, and $\|TP\|_{\mathcal{B}(H)} < \lambda$, then $E_\lambda^\perp \lesssim P^\perp$ (recall that the relation $E \lesssim Q$ for projections $E, Q \in P(M)$ means that $E \sim E_1 \leq Q$, and the equivalence of projections, $E \sim E_1$, is equivalent to existence of a partial isometry $V \in M$ such that $V^*V = E_1$ and $VV^* = E$). \square*

It directly follows from Proposition 1.1 that, in the case where M is a type III von Neumann algebra or M is a type I factor, we always have $S(M) = M$. For von Neumann algebras of type II, the latter identity is not true already. The proof of this fact is based on the following proposition.

Proposition 2 ([11]). *If there exists an increasing sequence of projections $\{E_n\}$ in M such that $E = \sup_{n \geq 1} E_n$ is a finite projection, and $E_n \neq E$ for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$, then $S(M) \neq M$. \square*

Corollary 1. *If M is a von Neumann algebra of type II, then $S(M) \neq M$. \square*

The following proposition gives conditions that are necessary and sufficient for $*$ -algebras $S(M)$ and M to coincide.

Proposition 3 ([11]). *The following statements are equivalent.*

(i) $S(M) = M$.

(ii) M can be represented as a direct sum, $M = \sum_{n=0}^m M_n$, where M_0 is a von Neumann algebra of type III, and M_n are factors of type I, $n = 1, 2, \dots, m$, and m is a natural number (some terms could be omitted). \square

A closed linear operator T acting on a Hilbert space H is called *locally measurable with respect to a von Neumann algebra M* if $T \eta M$ and there exists a sequence $\{Z_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of central projections in M such that $Z_n \uparrow I$ and $TZ_n \in S(M)$ for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$ [7].

Denote by $LS(M)$ the set of all linear operators that are locally measurable with respect to M . It was proved in [7] that $LS(M)$ is a $*$ -algebra over the field \mathbf{C} with identity I , the operations of strong addition, strong multiplication, and passing to the adjoint (the multiplication by a scalar is defined as usual with the assumption $0 * T = 0$.) In such a case, $S(M)$ is a $*$ -subalgebra in $LS(M)$. In the case where M is a finite von Neumann algebra or a factor, the algebras $S(M)$ and $LS(M)$ coincide. This is not true in the general case. The following proposition gives a sufficient condition for these algebras to be distinct.

Proposition 4 ([11]). *If a von Neumann algebra M contains a sequence $\{Z_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of central projections, increasing to the identity, such that $(I - Z_n)$ is not a finite projection, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, then $LS(M) \neq S(M)$. \square*

Proposition 1.4 gives at once the following.

Corollary 2. *If a von Neumann algebra M is a direct product of an infinite number of von Neumann algebras that are not finite, then $LS(M) \neq S(M)$. \square*

The following proposition gives a criterion for the $*$ -algebras $LS(M)$ and $S(M)$ to coincide.

Proposition 5 ([11]). *The following statements are equivalent.*

(i) $LS(M) = S(M)$.

(ii) M can be represented as a direct sum, $M = \sum_{n=0}^m M_n$, where M_0 is a finite von Neumann algebra and M_n are factors of type I_∞ , II_∞ , III , $n = 1, 2, \dots, m$, and m is a natural number (some terms could be omitted).

□

We recall one more important property of the $*$ -algebras $LS(M)$.

Proposition 6 ([12]). *Let a von Neumann algebra M be a C^* -product of von Neumann algebras M_i , $i \in I$, where I is a family of indices, that is, $M = \{\{T_i\}_{i \in I}, T_i \in M_i, i \in I, \sup_{i \in I} \|T_i\|_{M_i} < \infty\}$ with the coordinate-wise algebraic operations and involution and the C^* -norm, $\|\{T_i\}_{i \in I}\|_M = \sup_{i \in I} \|T_i\|_{M_i}$. Then the $*$ -algebra $LS(M)$ is $*$ -isomorphic to the $*$ -algebra $\prod_{i \in I} LS(M_i)$ (the algebraic operations and the involution in $\prod_{i \in I} LS(M_i)$ are coordinate-wise.)*

□

Let us remark that there is no an analogue of Proposition 1.6 for the algebras $S(M)$. Indeed, let M_n be type III factors, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, and M be their C^* -product. Then $S(M) = M$ and $LS(M_n) = S(M_n) = M_n$ for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Moreover, by Corollary 1.2, $LS(M) \neq S(M) = M$. Hence, in virtue of Proposition 1.6,

$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} S(M_n) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} LS(M_n) = LS(M) \neq S(M).$$

The following proposition gives necessary and sufficient conditions for the $*$ -algebras $LS(M)$ and M to coincide.

Proposition 7 ([11]). *The following statements are equivalent.*

(i) $LS(M) = M$.

(ii) M can be represented as a direct sum, $M = \sum_{n=1}^m M_n$, where M_n are type I or type III -factors, $n = 1, 2, \dots, m$, and m is an integer (some terms could be absent).

□

2 Convergences almost everywhere and locally almost everywhere in the $*$ -algebra $LS(M)$.

Let M be an arbitrary von Neumann algebra, $P_f(M)$ a sublattice in $P(M)$ of all finite projections in M .

Definition 1 ([1]). A sequence $\{T_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset LS(M)$ converges almost everywhere to $T \in LS(M)$, denoted by $T_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.e.}} T$, if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a subsequence $\{E_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset P(M)$ such that $E_n \uparrow I$, $E_n^\perp \in P_f(M)$, $(T_n - T)E_n \in M$ and $\|(T_n - T)E_n\|_M < \varepsilon$ for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$

Let M be a commutative von Neumann algebra. Then, as known [13, Part 1, Chapter 7], there exists a measurable space (Ω, Σ, μ) with a finite locally complete measure μ such that M is $*$ -isomorphic to the $*$ -algebra $L_\infty(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$. In this case, the algebra $LS(M) = S(M)$ is $*$ -isomorphic to the $*$ -algebra $S(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ of all measurable complex-valued functions defined on (Ω, Σ, μ) (the functions that are equal almost everywhere are considered as identical) [1]. The introduced convergence almost everywhere coincides with the convergence almost everywhere with respect to the measure μ in the sense of the measure theory.

It is clear that if $T_n, T \in M$ and $\|T_n - T\|_M \longrightarrow 0$, then $T_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.e.}} T$. The following proposition gives a sufficient condition so that the converse statement holds.

Proposition 8. *Let a von Neumann algebra M be given as a direct sum, $M = \sum_{i=0}^m M_i$, where M_0 is a von Neumann algebra of type III, M_i are type I factors, $i = 1, \dots, m$, and m is a natural (some terms could be absent). If $T_n, T \in LS(M)$ and $T_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.e.}} T$, then $(T_n - T) \in M$ starting with some index, and $\|T_n - T\|_M \longrightarrow 0$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$.*

Proof. Any finite projection E in $P(M)$ has the form $E = \sum_{j=1}^k P_j$, where P_j are atoms in $P(M)$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$, that is, the reduced von Neumann algebras $P_j M P_j$ are one-dimensional. So, if $Q_n \in P_f(M)$ and $Q_n \downarrow 0$, then $Q_n = 0$ starting with some index n_0 . This, together with the definition of convergence almost everywhere, imply that $(T_n - T) \in M$ for $n \geq n_0$ and $\|T_n - T\|_M \longrightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. \square

Consider an arbitrary von Neumann algebra of type III, M , such that its center $Z(M)$ does not have atoms. Then the $*$ -algebra $LS(Z(M)) = S(Z(M))$ is $*$ -isomorphic to the $*$ -algebra $S(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ for a corresponding measurable space with a locally finite continuous measure μ . If $T_n, T \in$

$LS(Z(M)) \subset LS(M)$, $T_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.e.}} T$ in $LS(M)$, then by Proposition 2.1, $(T_n - T) \in Z(M)$ starting with some index, and $\|T_n - T\|_{Z(M)} = \|T_n - T\|_M \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Since the measure μ is continuous, there exist $T_n, T \in S(Z(M))$ such that $T_n \rightarrow T$ almost everywhere with respect to μ , but $(T_n - T)$ does not belong to M for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$. This means that convergence of T_n almost everywhere to T in $LS(Z(M))$ does not imply in general the convergence almost everywhere in $LS(M)$.

In this connection, it is natural to modify the notion of convergence almost everywhere in $LS(M)$ so that this convergence would induce the convergence almost everywhere in $LS(Z(M))$.

Definition 2 ([7]). We will call a sequence $\{T_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in $LS(M)$ convergent *locally almost everywhere* to $T \in LS(M)$, denoted by $T_n \xrightarrow{\text{l.a.e.}} T$, if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist sequences $\{E_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset P(M)$ and $\{Z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset P(Z(M))$ such that $E_n \uparrow I$, $Z_n \uparrow I$, $Z_n E_n^\perp \in P_f(M)$, $(T_n - T)E_n \in M$ and $\|(T_n - T)E_n\|_M < \varepsilon$ for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$

It is clear that the convergence $T_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.e.}} T$ implies the convergence $T_n \xrightarrow{\text{l.a.e.}} T$ (it is sufficient to take $Z_n = I$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$). Moreover, it is clear that if M is a factor or a finite von Neumann algebra, convergences almost everywhere and locally almost everywhere coincide. The following theorem gives a relation between convergences almost everywhere and locally almost everywhere for an arbitrary von Neumann algebra M .

Theorem 1. *Let M be an arbitrary von Neumann algebra, $\{T_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, T$ in $LS(M)$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) $T_n \xrightarrow{\text{l.a.e.}} T$.
- (ii) *There exists a sequence of pairwise orthogonal central projections $\{P_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$ such that $\sum_{m=1}^\infty P_m = I$ and $T_n P_m \xrightarrow{\text{a.e.}} T P_m$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for each fixed $m = 1, 2, \dots$*

Proof. (i) \implies (ii). Let $T_n \xrightarrow{\text{l.a.e.}} T$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and the projections $\{E_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset P(M)$, and $\{Z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset P(Z(M))$ be such that $E_n \uparrow I$, $Z_n \uparrow I$, $Z_n E_n^\perp \in P_f(M)$, $(T_n - T)E_n \in M$ and $\|(T_n - T)E_n\|_M < \varepsilon$ for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$

Let $P_1 = Z_1$, $P_m = Z_m - Z_{m-1}$ for $m \geq 2$.

It is clear that $\{P_m\}_{m=1}^\infty \subset P(Z(M))$, $\sum_{m=1}^\infty P_m = \sup_{m \geq 1} Z_m = I$.

Fix m and set $Q_{nm} = E_n P_m + P_m^\perp$ for $n \geq m$ and $Q_{nm} = 0$ if $n < m$. Then $Q_{nm} \uparrow I$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$Q_{nm}^\perp = I - (E_n P_m + P_m^\perp) = P_m - E_n P_m = E_n^\perp P_m = (E_n^\perp Z_n) P_m \in P_f(M)$$

for $n \geq m$. Moreover,

$$(T_n P_m - T P_m) Q_{nm} = (T_n - T) P_m Q_{nm} = (T_n - T) E_n P_m \in M$$

and $\|(T_n P_m - T P_m) Q_{nm}\| < \varepsilon$. This means that $T_n P_m \xrightarrow{\text{a.e.}} T P_m$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for each fixed $m = 1, 2, \dots$

(ii) \implies (i). Let $\{P_m\}_{m=1}^\infty \subset P(Z(M))$, $P_m P_n = 0$ for $m \neq n$, $\sum_{m=1}^\infty P_m = I$ and $T_n P_m \xrightarrow{\text{a.e.}} T P_m$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for each fixed $m = 1, 2, \dots$. Then, for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a sequence $\{E_{nm}\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset P(M)$ such that $E_{nm} \uparrow I$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$, $E_{nm}^\perp \in P_f(M)$, $(T_n - T) P_m E_{nm} \in M$ and $\|(T_n - T) P_m E_{nm}\|_M < \varepsilon$ for all $n, m = 1, 2, \dots$

Set $Z_n = \sum_{m=1}^n P_m$ and $Q_n = \sum_{m=1}^n E_{nm} P_m$.

Then $\{Z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset P(Z(M))$, $\{Q_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset P(M)$, $Z_n \uparrow I$, $Q_n \uparrow I$, $Q_n^\perp Z_n = \sum_{m=1}^n E_{nm}^\perp P_m \in P_f(M)$, $(T_n - T) Q_n = \sum_{m=1}^n (T_n - T) E_{nm} P_m \in M$ and, since the central supports of operators $(T_n - T) E_{nm} P_m$ are pairwise orthogonal for fixed n , we have

$$\|(T_n - T) Q_n\|_M = \max_{1 \leq m \leq n} \|(T_n - T) E_{nm} P_m\|_M < \varepsilon.$$

Consequently, $T_n \xrightarrow{\text{l.a.e.}} T$. □

Let us find a class of von Neumann algebras for which the convergences almost everywhere and locally almost everywhere coincide.

Theorem 2. *The following conditions are equivalent.*

- (i) *Every sequence in $LS(M)$, which is convergent locally almost everywhere, is convergent in $LS(M)$ almost everywhere.*
- (ii) *The von Neumann algebra M can be represented as a direct sum, $M = \sum_{i=0}^m M_i$, where M_0 is a finite von Neumann algebra, and M_i are factors of type I_∞ , II_∞ , or III , $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, and m is a natural number (some terms could be missed).*

Proof. (i) \implies (ii). Assume that M is not a finite von Neumann algebra and choose a central projection $Q \in Z(M)$ such that $M_0 = Q^\perp M$ is a finite von Neumann algebra and QM is a properly infinite von Neumann algebra (it can happen that $Q = I$). Let us show that the center $Z(QM) = QZ(M)$ is a finite dimensional von Neumann algebra.

If this is not the case, then there exists a subsequence $\{Z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset P(QZ(M))$ such that $Z_n \uparrow Q$ and $Z_n \neq Q$ for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Then it is clear that $Z_n \xrightarrow{\text{l.a.e.}} Q$ in $LS(M)$ and by (i) we have that $Z_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.e.}} Q$

in $LS(M)$. Hence, there exists a sequence $\{E_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset P(M)$ such that $E_n \uparrow I$, $E_n^\perp \in P_f(M)$, $(Z_n - Q)E_n \in M$ and $\|(Z_n - Q)E_n\|_M < \varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}$ for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$.

Since $Q - Z_n = Z_n^\perp Q$, we see that $(Q - Z_n)E_n = Z_n^\perp Q E_n$ is a projection such that $\|Z_n^\perp Q E_n\| < \frac{1}{2}$. Consequently, $Z_n^\perp Q E_n = 0$ and, hence, $Z_n^\perp Q \leq E_n^\perp$. This means that $Q - Z_n = Z_n^\perp Q$ is a nonzero finite projection in QM , which contradicts that the von Neumann algebra QM is properly infinite.

Consequently, the algebra $QZ(M)$ is finite dimensional, that is there exist atoms Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_m in $P(QZ(M))$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^m Q_i = I$ and $M_i = Q_i M$ are not finite factors, i.e., they are factors of types I_∞ , II_∞ , or III . Hence, M is a direct sum, $\sum_{i=0}^m M_i$, where $M_0 = Q^\perp M$ is a finite von Neumann algebra, and $M_i = Q_i M$ are factors of the above types.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). Assume that the von Neumann algebra M can be represented as the direct sum $M = \sum_{i=0}^m M_i$, where $M_0, M_i, i = 1, 2, \dots$, are the same as in (ii). By Proposition 1.6,

$$LS(M) = LS(M_0) \bigoplus \sum_{i=1}^m LS(M_i).$$

Denote by Q_i the identity element in the von Neumann algebra $M_i, i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m$. Let $T_n, T \in LS(M)$ and $T_n \xrightarrow{\text{l.a.e.}} T$ in $LS(M)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then $T_n Q_i \xrightarrow{\text{l.a.e.}} T Q_i$ in $LS(M_i)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for any fixed $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m$.

Since M_0 is a finite von Neumann algebra and M_i are factors, we have that $T_n Q_i \xrightarrow{\text{a.e.}} T Q_i$ in $LS(M_i)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since $Q_i \in P(Z(M))$, we see that $T_n Q_i \xrightarrow{\text{a.e.}} T Q_i$ in $LS(M)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By Theorem 2.1, $T_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.e.}} T$ in $LS(M)$. \square

Remark 1. Let a von Neumann algebra M be represented as a C^* -product, $M = \prod_{i=1}^\infty M_i$, where M_i are factors of types I_∞, II_∞ , or $III, i = 1, 2, \dots$. Then, by Theorem 2.2, the convergences locally almost everywhere and almost everywhere do not coincide in $LS(M)$. In particular, there are von Neumann algebras of countable type for which these convergences do not coincide (recall that a von Neumann algebra M is of a countable type if any family of nonzero pairwise orthogonal projections in $P(M)$ is at most countable).

Remark 2. Let M be a factor of type I or III (in this case $LS(M) = M$), $\{T_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, T$ in M and $T_n \xrightarrow{\text{l.a.e.}} T$. Then, for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a sequence $\{E_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset P(M)$ such that $E_n \uparrow I, E_n^\perp \in P_f(M)$ (that is, $E_n^\perp = 0$ starting with some index n_0), $(T_n - T)E_n \in M$ and $\|(T_n - T)E_n\|_M < \varepsilon$

(i.e., $\|T_n - T\| < \varepsilon$ as $n \geq n_0$). This means that convergence locally almost everywhere coincides with the uniform convergence.

Proposition 9. *Let $T_n, T \in S(Z(M))$. The following conditions are equivalent.*

- (i) $T_n \xrightarrow{\text{l.a.e.}} T$ in $LS(M)$.
- (ii) $T_n \rightarrow T$ almost everywhere in $S(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ (the $*$ -algebra $S(Z(M))$ is identified with the $*$ -algebra $S(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ and the center $Z(M)$ with the $*$ -algebra $L_\infty(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$).

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Without loss of generality, we can assume that $T = 0$. Since $T_n \xrightarrow{\text{l.a.e.}} 0$ in $LS(M)$, by Theorem 2.1 there exists a sequence $\{P_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$ of pairwise orthogonal projections such that $\sum_{m=1}^\infty P_m = I$ and $T_n P_m \xrightarrow{\text{l.a.e.}} 0$ in $LS(M)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for each fixed $m = 1, 2, \dots$

Let us fix m and show that $T_n P_m \rightarrow 0$ almost everywhere in $S(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Choose an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$ and choose a sequence $\{E_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset P(M)$ such that $E_n \uparrow I$, $E_n^\perp \in P_f(M)$, and $\|T_n P_m E_n\|_M < \varepsilon$ for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$

Denote by $\{E_\lambda(|T_n P_m|)\}$ the spectral family of projections for the operator $|T_n P_m|$. By Lemma 1.1, we have that $E_\varepsilon^\perp(|T_n P_m|) \lesssim E_n^\perp$. Since $E_\varepsilon(|T_n P_m|)$ is a central projection, $E_\varepsilon^\perp(|T_n P_m|) \leq E_n^\perp$ and, hence, $E_n \leq E_\varepsilon(|T_n P_m|)$ for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$

Because $E_n \uparrow I$, we have that $\sup_{n \geq 1} \{inf_{k \geq n} E_\varepsilon(|T_k P_m|)\} = I$ for each $\varepsilon > 0$, that is,

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \left(\bigcap_{k=n}^\infty \{\omega \in \Omega : |T_k(\omega) P_m(\omega)| < \varepsilon\} \right) = \Omega$$

μ -almost everywhere. This means that $T_n P_m \rightarrow 0$ almost everywhere in $S(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for each fixed $m = 1, 2, \dots$. Since $\sum_{m=1}^\infty P_m = I$, we see that $T_n \rightarrow 0$ almost everywhere in $S(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). Let $T_n \rightarrow 0$ almost everywhere in $S(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$. Then, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, the following holds:

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \left(\bigcap_{k=n}^\infty \{\omega \in \Omega : |T_k(\omega)| < \varepsilon\} \right) = \Omega$$

μ -almost everywhere.

Denote by Z_n the central projection in $Z(M)$ corresponding to the set $(\bigcap_{k=n}^\infty \{\omega \in \Omega : |T_k(\omega)| < \varepsilon\}) \in \Sigma$. It is clear that $Z_n \uparrow I$ and, for $E_n = Z_n$,

we have that $Z_n E_n^\perp = 0 \in P_f(M)$, $\|T_n E_n\|_M = \|T_n Z_n\|_{Z(M)} < \varepsilon$ for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$. This means that $T_n \xrightarrow{1.a.e.} 0$ in $LS(M)$. \square

Let M be an arbitrary commutative von Neumann algebra. Then as was noted above, there exists a measurable space (Ω, Σ, μ) with a locally finite complete measure μ such that M is $*$ -isomorphic to the $*$ -algebra $L_\infty(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ and the $*$ -algebra $LS(M) = S(M)$ is $*$ -isomorphic to the $*$ -algebra $S(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$. So, together with a well-known convergence in $S(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ with respect to measure, we also consider the convergence locally with respect to measure. This convergence is defined as follows: a sequence $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset S(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ converges locally with respect to measure to $f \in S(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ if $f_n \chi_A \rightarrow f \chi_A$ with respect to measure for any set $A \in \Sigma$ with $\mu(A) < \infty$, where χ_A is a characteristic function of the set A .

A similar convergence can be also defined in the algebra $LS(M)$ in the case of an arbitrary von Neumann algebra M .

Denote by φ a $*$ -isomorphism of the center $Z(M)$ of the von Neumann algebra M to the $*$ -algebra $L_\infty(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ and by $S_\infty^+(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ the set of all measurable functions $f : \Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ (functions that are equal almost everywhere are identified). It was shown in [1] that there exists a mapping

$$d : P(M) \longrightarrow S_\infty^+(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$$

such that

- (i) $d(P) = 0$ if and only if $P = 0$;
- (ii) $d(P)$ is finite almost everywhere if and only if the projection P is finite;
- (iii) $d(P + Q) = d(P) + d(Q)$ if $PQ = 0$;
- (iv) $d(U^*U) = d(UU^*)$ for any partial isometry $U \in M$;
- (v) $d(ZP) = \varphi(Z)d(P)$ for all $Z \in P(Z(M))$ and $P \in P(M)$;
- (vi) if $P_\alpha, P \in P(M)$ and $P_\alpha \uparrow P$, then $d(P) = \sup_\alpha d(P_\alpha)$.

A mapping $d : P(M) \longrightarrow S_\infty^+(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ satisfying the properties (i)–(vi) is called a dimension function on $P(M)$.

For each $\varepsilon > 0$ and $A \in \Sigma$ satisfying $\mu(A) < \infty$, we set

$$V(A, \varepsilon) = \{T \in LS(M) : \text{there exists } P \in P(M) \text{ such that } TP \in M, \\ \|TP\|_M < \varepsilon, \text{ and } \mu(A \cap \{\omega \in \Omega : d(P^\perp)(\omega) > \varepsilon\}) < \varepsilon\}.$$

Theorem 3 ([7]). (i) *The system of the sets*

$$\{\{T + V(A, \varepsilon)\} : T \in LS(M), \varepsilon > 0, A \in \Sigma, \mu(A) < \infty\} \quad (1)$$

defines in $LS(M)$ a Hausdorff vector topology t for which sets (14.1) form a base of neighborhoods of the operator $T \in LS(M)$.

- (ii) *$(LS(M), t)$ is a complete uniform space with respect to the dimension induced by the topology t .*
- (iii) *The involution is continuous, and the multiplication in $(LS(M), t)$ is continuous in the totality of the variables (that is $(LS(M), t)$ is a topological $*$ -algebra).*
- (iv) *The topology t is metrizable if and only if the Boolean algebra $P(Z(M))$ is of countable type, that is, any family of nonzero pairwise orthogonal projections in $P(Z(M))$ is at most countable.*
- (v) *If $\{T_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in J}$, $T \in LS(M)$, then the net T_α converges to T in the topology t (denoted by $T_\alpha \xrightarrow{t} T$) if and only if $E_\lambda^\perp(|T_\alpha - T|) \xrightarrow{t} 0$ for any $\lambda > 0$, where $\{E_\lambda(|T_\alpha - T|)\}$ is a spectral family of projections for $|T_\alpha - T|$. In particular, $T_\alpha \xrightarrow{t} T$ if and only if $|T_\alpha - T| \xrightarrow{t} 0$.*
- (vi) *If $\{P_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset P(M)$, then $P_n \xrightarrow{t} 0$ if and only if $\chi_A d(P_n) \rightarrow 0$ with respect to the measure μ for each $A \in \Sigma$ with $\mu(A) < \infty$.*

□

It was found in [7] that the topology t does not change if the measure μ is replaced with an equivalent measure and the dimension function d with another dimension function.

Convergence in the topology t is called a *convergence locally in measure*.

It follows from the definition of the topology t that the convergence of a net $\{T_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in J}$ to T locally in measure means that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $A \in \Sigma$, $\mu(A) < \infty$, there exists $\alpha_0 = \alpha(\varepsilon, A)$ such that, for each $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$, there exists a projection $P(\alpha) \in P(M)$ satisfying

$$\|(T_\alpha - T)P(\alpha)\|_M < \varepsilon \quad (2)$$

and

$$\mu(A \cap \{\omega \in \Omega : d(I - P(\alpha))(\omega) > \varepsilon\}) < \varepsilon. \quad (3)$$

If inequality (14.2) is replaced with the inequality

$$\|P(\alpha)(T_\alpha - T)P(\alpha)\|_M < \varepsilon, \quad (14.2')$$

then it is said that the net $\{T_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in J}$ converges to T two-side locally in measure.

It is easy to see that the two-side convergence in measure is equivalent to the convergence in the vector topology in $LS(M)$, with the base of neighborhoods of zero formed by the sets

$$\begin{aligned} W(A, \varepsilon) = \{T \in LS(M) : \text{there exists } P \in P(M) \\ \text{such that } PTP \in M, \|PTP\|_M < \varepsilon \\ \text{and } \mu(A \cap \{\omega \in \Omega : d(P^\perp)(\omega) > \varepsilon\}) < \varepsilon\}, \\ \text{where } \varepsilon > 0, A \in \Sigma, \mu(A) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

In fact, this vector topology coincides with the topology t , which is directly implied by the following proposition.

Proposition 10 ([11]).

$$V(A, \varepsilon) \subset W(A, \varepsilon) \subset V(A, 2\varepsilon)$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$, $A \in \Sigma$, $\mu(A) < \infty$.

If there exists a faithful normal semi-final trace τ on a von Neumann algebra M , then, for the $*$ -algebra $LS(M)$, one can consider convergence in measure induced by the trace τ , see, e.g. [2, 3]. This convergence coincides with the convergence in the vector topology t_τ in $LS(M)$, with a base of neighborhoods of zero formed by the sets

$$\begin{aligned} V(\varepsilon, \delta) = \{T \in LS(M) : \text{there exists } P \in P(M) \\ \text{such that } TP \in M, \|TP\|_M < \varepsilon, \tau(P^\perp) < \delta\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\varepsilon, \delta > 0$.

Proposition 11 ([11]). *Let τ be a faithful normal semi-finite trace on a von Neumann algebra M . Then we have the following.*

- (i) *If $\{E_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset P(M)$ and $\tau(E_n) \rightarrow 0$, then $E_n \xrightarrow{t} 0$. Conversely, if $E_n \xrightarrow{t} 0$ and $\tau(I) < \infty$, then $\tau(E_n) \rightarrow 0$.*
- (ii) *If $\{T_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, T \in LS(M)$ and $T_n \xrightarrow{t_\tau} T$, then $T_n \xrightarrow{t} T$.*
- (iii) *If $\tau(I) < \infty$, then the topologies t and t_τ coincide.*

Remark 3. If the trace τ is not finite, then the convergence $T_n \xrightarrow{t} T$, in general, does not imply the convergence $T_n \xrightarrow{t_\tau} T$ even for commutative von Neumann algebras.

Example 1. Consider the von Neumann algebra

$$M = l_\infty = \{\{c_n\}_{n=1}^\infty : c_n \in \mathbf{C}, n = 1, 2, \dots, \sup_{n \geq 1} |c_n| < \infty\}.$$

Set $\tau(\{c_n\}) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty c_n$ and $\tau_1(\{c_n\}) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty 2^{-n} c_n$, where $\{c_n\} \in l_\infty, c_n \geq 0$.

Then τ is a faithful normal trace on M that is semi-finite but not finite, and τ_1 is a faithful normal finite trace on M .

Consider a sequence of projections, $E_n = (\underbrace{0, 0, \dots, 0}_n, 1, 1, \dots)$, in l_∞ , decreasing to zero. Then $\tau_1(E_n) = \sum_{k=n+1}^\infty 2^{-k} = 2^{-n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and, by Proposition 2.4(i), $E_n \xrightarrow{t} 0$.

However, $\tau(\{E_n > \frac{1}{2}\}) = +\infty$ for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$, and so $E_n \not\xrightarrow{t_\tau} 0$. \square

Remark 4. Let M be a factor. Then $Z(M) = \mathbf{C} = L_\infty(\{\omega\}, \Sigma, \mu)$, where $\Sigma = \{\emptyset, \{\omega\}\}$, $\mu(\{\omega\}) = 1$. In this case, the dimension function d is a faithful normal semi-finite (finite) trace on M if M is of type I_∞, II_∞ (correspondingly, I_n, II_1), and $d(E) = +\infty$ for all nonzero $E \in P(M)$ if M is of type III.

So, if $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, $A = \{\omega\}$, we have that

$$V(A, \varepsilon) = \{T \in LS(M) : \text{there exists } P \in P(M) \text{ such that } TP \in M, \\ \|TP\|_M < \varepsilon, \text{ and } d(P^\perp) < \varepsilon\}.$$

In other words, if M is of type III, then

$$V(A, \varepsilon) = \{T \in M : \|T\|_M < \varepsilon\},$$

that is the convergence locally in measure coincides with uniform convergence, and if M is of type I or II, then convergence locally in measure coincides with convergence in measure induced by the trace d .

Remark 5. If $M = \mathcal{B}(H)$ is a factor of type I, then convergence locally in measure coincides with uniform convergence.

Indeed, let $\tau = \text{tr}$ be the canonical trace on $\mathcal{B}(H)$, $T_n, T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, and $T_n \xrightarrow{t} T$ (note that, by Proposition 1.7, $LS(M) = S(M) = M = \mathcal{B}(H)$).

By Theorem 2.3 (v), (vi), we have that $\text{tr}(E_\lambda^\perp(|T_n - T|)) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for any $\lambda > 0$. Consequently, $E_\lambda^\perp(|T_n - T|) = 0$ starting with some index $n(\lambda)$. This means that $\|T_n - T\|_M = \||T_n - T|\|_M \leq \lambda$ for $n \geq n(\lambda)$, that is, $\|T_n - T\|_M \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Remark 6. If $T_n, T \in S(Z(M))$, then $T_n \xrightarrow{t} T$ if and only if $T_n \rightarrow T$ in the measure μ for each $A \in \Sigma$ with $\mu(A) < \infty$ (we identify $S(Z(M))$ with the $*$ -algebra $S(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$).

Indeed, if $\{E_\lambda(|T_n - T|)\}$ is a spectral family of projections for the operator $|T_n - T|$, then by Theorem 2.3 (v), $T_n \xrightarrow{t} T$ if and only if $E_\lambda^\perp(|T_n - T|) \xrightarrow{t} 0$ for any $\lambda > 0$. Since $T_n, T \in S(Z(M))$, we have that $E_\lambda(|T_n - T|) \in P(Z(M))$ for all $\lambda > 0$. By Theorem 2.3 (vi), $E_\lambda^\perp(|T_n - T|) \xrightarrow{t} 0$ if and only if $\chi_A E_\lambda^\perp(|T_n - T|)d(I) = \chi_A d(E_\lambda^\perp(|T_n - T|)) \rightarrow 0$ in the measure μ for each $A \in \Sigma$ with $\mu(A) < \infty$, where we identify $Z(M)$ with the $*$ -algebra $L_\infty(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ (see the definition of the dimension function d).

Consequently, $T_n \xrightarrow{t} T$ if and only if $E_\lambda^\perp(|T_n - T|)$ converges to zero in the measure μ for each $A \in \Sigma$ with $\mu(A) < \infty$ for all $\lambda > 0$. The latter condition, clearly, is equivalent to the convergence $T_n \rightarrow T$ in the measure μ for each $A \in \Sigma$ with $\mu(A) < \infty$.

The following theorem gives a criterion for the convergences locally almost everywhere and locally in measure to coincide in $LS(M)$.

Theorem 4. *The following conditions are equivalent.*

- (i) For $\{T_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ and T in $LS(M)$, $T_n \xrightarrow{\text{l.a.e.}} T$ if and only if $T_n \xrightarrow{t} T$.
- (ii) The von Neumann algebra M can be represented as a C^* -product, $M = \prod_{i \in J} M_i$, where M_i are factors of types I or III, $i \in J$, and J is an index set.

Proof. (i) \implies (ii). Identify the center $Z(M)$ of the von Neumann algebra M with the $*$ -algebra $L_\infty(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$, and the $*$ -algebra $LS(M)$ with the $*$ -algebra $S(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$. If the space with the measure (Ω, Σ, μ) is not atomic, then there exists a set $A \in \Sigma$ with $0 \neq \mu(A) < \infty$ such that the Boolean algebra $Q(A)Z(M)$ does not have atoms, where $Q(A)$ is the central projection in $Z(M)$ corresponding to the set A . In this case, as shown in [14], there exists a sequence $\{G_n\} \subset P(Q(A)Z(M))$ such that $\mu(G_n) \rightarrow 0$, but $\{G_n\}$ does not converge to zero μ -almost everywhere. It follows from Remark 6 that $G_n \xrightarrow{t} 0$. So, by assumption (i), we have $G_n \xrightarrow{\text{l.a.e.}} 0$. But then, by Proposition 2.2, $G_n \rightarrow 0$ almost everywhere in $S(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$, which is not

true. Consequently, the Boolean algebra $P(Z(M))$ of central projections in M is atomic.

Let $\{Q_i\}_{i \in J}$ be the set of all atoms in $P(Z(M))$ and $M_i = Q_i M$, $i \in J$. Then M_i is a factor for each $i \in J$ and the von Neumann algebra M is $*$ -isomorphic to the C^* -product $\prod_{i \in J} M_i$ (this $*$ -isomorphism is given by the mapping $\psi : M \rightarrow \prod_{i \in J} M_i$, where $\psi(T) = \{Q_i T\}_{i \in J}$, $T \in M$).

Let τ_i be a faithful normal trace on M_i (if M_i is of type III , then $\tau_i(0) = 0$ and $\tau_i(T) = +\infty$ for any positive operator $T \in M_i$).

The mapping $d : M \rightarrow S_\infty^+(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ defined by the formula $d(E) = \{\tau_i(EQ_i)\}_{i \in J}$ is a dimension function on M (since the Boolean algebra $P(Z(M))$ is atomic, Ω can be identified with J and Σ with the σ -algebra of all subsets of J , with $\mu(i) < \infty$ for all $i \in J$).

Suppose that there exists $i_0 \in J$ such that M_{i_0} has type II_1 or II_∞ . Then M_i contains a commutative von Neumann subalgebra B such that (B, τ_{i_0}) is $*$ -isomorphic to $(L_\infty([0, 1]), m)$, where m is a linear Lebesgue measure on the line segment $[0, 1]$. Using the proof of Theorem 8 in [14] we see that there exists a sequence $\{E_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset P(M_{i_0})$ such that $\tau_{i_0}(E_n) \rightarrow 0$, but $\{E_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ does not converge to zero in $S(M_{i_0})$ almost everywhere. It follows from Theorem 2.3 (vi) that $\hat{E}_n \xrightarrow{t} 0$, where $\hat{E}_n = \{T_i^{(n)}\}_{i \in J} \in P(M)$, $T_i^{(n)} = 0$ for $i \neq i_0$ and $T_{i_0}^{(n)} = E_n$. Consequently, by assumption (i), $\hat{E}_n \xrightarrow{\text{l.a.e.}} 0$, and so, $Q_i \hat{E}_n \xrightarrow{\text{l.a.e.}} 0$, which implies that $E_n \xrightarrow{\text{l.a.e.}} 0$ in $LS(M_{i_0}) = S(M_{i_0})$. Since M_{i_0} is a factor, $E_n \rightarrow 0$ in $S(M_{i_0})$ almost everywhere, which is not true. This contradiction shows that each factor M_i has type I or III , $i \in J$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). Let a von Neumann algebra M be represented as a C^* -product, $M = \prod_{i \in J} M_i$, where M_i are factors of types I or III . To prove the implication (ii) \Rightarrow (i), it is sufficient to show that if $\{T_i^{(n)}\}_{i \in J} = T_n \in LS(M) = \prod_{i \in J} LS(M_i)$ and $T_n \xrightarrow{t} 0$, then $T_n \xrightarrow{\text{l.a.e.}} 0$.

Set $Q_j = \{E_i\}_{i \in J} \in P(Z(M))$, where $E_i = 0$ for $i \neq j$ and $E_j = I_{M_j}$ is the identity element in the algebra M_j . Assume that $T_n \xrightarrow{t} 0$. Then, by Theorem 2.3 (iii), $Q_j T_n \xrightarrow{t} 0$ for each $j \in J$.

Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and set

$$Z_n = \sup\{Q_i : \|T_i^{(k)}\|_{M_i} < \varepsilon \text{ for all } k \geq n\}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

It is clear that $Z_n \in P(Z(M))$ and $Z_n \leq Z_{n+1}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$

Let $Z_0 = \sup_{n \geq 1} Z_n$. If $Z_0 \neq I$, then there is $i_0 \in J$ such that $Z_0 Q_{i_0} = 0$.

On the other hand, it follows from the convergence $\|T_i^{(k)}\|_{M_i} \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ that $Q_{i_0} \leq Z_{n(\varepsilon)} \leq Z_0$ for some index $n(\varepsilon)$, which contradicts the identity $Z_0 Q_{i_0} = 0$. Consequently, $Z_n \uparrow I$. Set $E_n = Z_n$,

$n = 1, 2, \dots$. Then $E_n \uparrow I$, $Z_n E_n^\perp = 0 \in P_f(M)$, $\|T_n E_n\|_M = \|T_n Z_n\|_m = \sup_{i: Q_i \leq Z_n} \|T_i^{(n)}\|_{M_i} \leq \varepsilon$.

This means that $T_n \xrightarrow{\text{l.a.e.}} 0$. □

References

- [1] I.E. Segal, *A non-commutative extension of abstract integration*, Ann. Math. **57**, 401-457 (1953).
- [2] E. Nelson, *Notes on non commutative integration*, J. Funct. Anal. **15**, 103-116 (1974).
- [3] F.J. Yeadon, *Non-commutative L^p -spaces*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **77**, 91-102 (1975).
- [4] T. Fack and H. Kosaki, *Generalized s -numbers of τ -measurable operators*, Pacific J. Math. **123**, 269-300 (1986).
- [5] P.G. Dixon, *Unbounded operator algebras*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **23**, 53-59 (1971).
- [6] S. Sankaran, *The $*$ -algebra of unbounded operators*, J. London Math. Soc. **34**, 337-344 (1959).
- [7] F.J. Yeadon, *Convergence of measurable operators*, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **74**, 257-268 (1973).
- [8] B.S. Zakhirov and V.I. Chilin, *An abstract characterization of EW^* -algebras*, Funktsional'nyi Analiz i Ego Prilozheniya **25**, 76-78 (in Russian, 1991).
- [9] S. Stratila and L. Zsido, *Lectures on von Neumann Algebras* (Abacus Press, Tunbridge Wells, England, UK, 1975).
- [10] M. Takesaki, *Theory of Operator Algebras I* (Springer, New York, 1979).
- [11] M.A. Muratov and V.I. Chilin, *Convergence in $*$ -algebras of locally measurable operators*, Tavricheskii Vestnik Informatiki i Matematiki **2**, 81-100 (in Russian, 2004).
- [12] K. Saito, *On the algebra of measurable operators for a general AW^* -algebra II*, Tohoku. Math. J. **23**, 525-534 (1971).

- [13] J. Dixmier, *Les Algebras d'Operateurs dans l'Espace Hilbertin (Algebres de von Neumann)*, 2nd ed. (Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1969).
- [14] M.A. Muratov, *Order properties of convergent sequences of unbounded measurable operators affiliated to a finite von Neumann algebra*, *Methods Funct. Anal. Topology* **8**, no. 3, 50-60 (2002).